

Awareness, Attitudes and Perception of Antenatal Patients to Caesarean Section: The Jos, Nigeria Experience

Orokpo Christopher Egbodo¹, Uche A Akunaeziri², Adikpe E Edugbe³, Iornum H Shambe⁴, Makshwar L Kahansim⁵, Amaka Ngozi Ocheke⁶

^{1,4,6}University of Jos/ Jos University Teaching Hospital.

²Federal Medical Centre, Keffi, Nasarawa State.

³University of Jos, Jos.

⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Jos University Teaching Hospital, JUTH, Nigeria.

Abstract

Background: Caesarean section (CS) rates have been increasing steadily globally. Although caesarean section is becoming increasingly safe, women still have aversion for caesarean section in our environment.

Objective: To determine the perception, knowledge and attitude of antenatal clinic (ANC) attendants in Jos University Teaching Hospital towards caesarean section as a mode of delivery.

Method: This was a descriptive cross-sectional study. A pre-tested structured questionnaire was administered to pregnant women who receive care at the antenatal clinic of the Jos University Teaching Hospital between 1st December 2013 to 31st January 2014.

Results: The average age of the respondents was 29.06±6.00 years, while the average income per month was 6786 ± 1053.92 naira. Fifty-five (24.2%) of the respondents did not know any indication for caesarean section while one hundred and seventy-two knew at least one correct indication out of which four (1.8%) knew three correct indications for caesarean section. Caesarean section was considered to be dangerous by 55.1%. The commonest reason given was that the mother could die (47.37%). Vaginal delivery was preferred by 88.5%, 6.20% had no preference while 5.30% preferred caesarean section. The commonest reason given for preference for vaginal delivery was that it is safer (32.34%). Eleven out of 12 respondents that preferred caesarean section preferred it because the stress of labour is eliminated. The commonest reasons for aversion to caesarean section was fear of operation (48.98%), lack of finance (30.61%) and fear of being stigmatized (26.53%). There was statistical significant relationship between income, religion and willingness to undergo caesarean section.

Conclusion: There is a high level of awareness of caesarean delivery among ANC attendants at the Jos University Teaching Hospital. Client education is necessary to address some concerns on safety of the operation, indications for the operation, and stigmatisation.

Keywords: Attitude, Caesarean section, Knowledge, Perception

Introduction

Caesarean delivery is defined as the birth of a foetus

through incisions in the abdominal wall (laparotomy) and uterine wall (hysterotomy).¹ It is performed in the interest of foetal or maternal health and is as old as modern obstetrics.

Corresponding Author: Amaka Ngozi Ocheke, University of Jos/ Jos University Teaching Hospital.

E-mail Id: amakaocheke@yahoo.com

How to cite this article: Egbodo OC, Akunaeziri UA, Edugbe AE et al. Awareness, Attitudes and Perception of Antenatal Patients to Caesarean Section: The Jos, Nigeria Experience. *Int J Adv Res Gynaecol Obstet* 2018; 1(1): 11-18.

In the past, its use was associated with a high maternal mortality with a rate of 3.5 per 1000 births in the United Kingdom in 1962.² More recently, with the era of improved operative techniques, thromboprophylaxis, availability of antibiotics and blood, there has been a sharp decline of maternal death associated with caesarean section. It is however still not devoid of complications.³ Caesarean section is an important aspect of modern obstetric care that is very useful in addressing obstetric complications.^{4,5}

The world health organization (W.H.O) has reported doubling of Caesarean section (C.S) rates in the last few decades especially high income nations such as Australia, France, Germany, Italy, North America, Great Britain and Northern Ireland with similar trends documented in low income countries such as Brazil, China, India and Sub-Saharan Africa.³ According to the WHO, though there is no ideal Caesarean section rate, C.S rates above 10-15% do not confer additional health benefits in terms of fatal and maternal morbidity and mortality and in developing countries has major implications on the limited health care resources.^{6,7} The caesarean section rate in the United States in 2002 was 26.1% an increase of 7% over 2001.⁸ The caesarean section rate in developing countries is not as high as that of developed countries. This is as a result of the poor access to the available facilities, lack of facilities and personnel.⁹

The caesarean section rate in Sub – Saharan Africa varies with rates as high as 14% in Kenya. Some authors have suggested that the high maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality in this sub-region may be a reflection of the low caesarean section rate.^{10,11} It is also thought that a caesarean section rate of 3.6% to 6.5% is needed to take care of obstetric complications in west Africa and that a rate of 2% should be the minimum. There are however reports of a rising rate of caesarean section in some developing countries with incidence of 15-21% reported in some west African countries.¹² In Ghana, one hospital based study revealed an incidence of 21.0%, this is as against 10.9% reported in the same hospital 20 years ago.¹³ In Nigeria, C.S rates of 10.5, 13.95, 25 and 34.5% have been reported from studies in tertiary hospitals in Maiduguri, Delta and Enugu states respectively.^{6,7,14} Generally, there has been a progressive rise in C.S rates in Nigeria as was documented in a multicentre study which reported a rise in C.S rates from 9.4% in the 1970s to 34.6% in 2002.¹⁵ In a study of caesarean delivery at the Olabisi Onabanjo University Teaching Hospital, Sagamu, Nigeria, the rate increased from 10.3% in 1989-1991 to 23.1% in 2000-2003.¹⁶ In Jos, Aisen et al. conducted a study in 2002 and found the caesarean section rate to be 18%.¹⁷ Despite the increase in caesarean section rates, there is a widely held belief that women in the West African sub-region have an aversion for surgical delivery.¹⁸

In spite of its relative safety and usefulness in modern obstetric practice, caesarean section is still viewed with suspicion by most pregnant women in our society. We therefore, sought to determine the awareness of, perceptions and attitudes towards caesarean section among our antenatal population.

Materials and Method

An interviewer administered pretested structured questionnaire that included closed and open-ended questions already containing items on demographic characteristics and assessment of knowledge, perceptions and attitudes towards caesarean delivery was administered to women attending the Jos University Teaching Hospital's antenatal clinic from 1st December 2013 to 31st January, 2014.

Details of the Method

Only pregnant women who consented to participate were recruited into the study. Verbal consent was obtained. The study was carried out from 1st December 2013 to 31st January 2014. A pre-tested structured questionnaire was administered to antenatal patients. Each morning, consenting women were assigned numbers serially as they reported at the antenatal clinic. The first registered client was picked. Every third attendant from the one picked was interviewed. Any woman that was interviewed had her antenatal card marked 'X' to avoid repeat recruitment during any subsequent clinic attendance. The women answered both closed and open-ended questions. Data collected include information on age, parity, marital status, educational background and occupation. The women were asked whether they had ever heard of Caesarean section as a mode of delivery and whether or not they considered it dangerous to the mother and/or the baby. They were also asked whether, if they had the choice, they would prefer caesarean (caesarean section on demand) or vaginal delivery and whether if it was indicated they would be willing to undergo Caesarean section. Their opinions were sought concerning the need for education on Caesarean section at the antenatal clinic as well as the need for preoperative information on indication for the operation in each particular case. A woman was considered to be aware of caesarean section if she had ever heard about it as an alternative to vaginal delivery. Their perceptions were assessed by the concerns for the safety of CS as a means of delivery. Their attitudes were assessed by the preference for CS as a means of delivery, willingness to undergo the operation when indicated and their desire for client education on CS at the ANC and for preoperative information on indication for the operation.

The issue of stigmatisation was addressed. Questions pertaining to mockery of women who have had CS in the past was also asked.

Sample Size Determination

The minimum sample size for the study was obtained using the previously reported caesarean section rate from this environment with the following formula:

$$n = p \times (1 - p) \times (Z^2 / d)^2$$

This is same as:

$$n = Z^2 pq / d^2$$

where: $q = 1 - p$;

$Z = 1.96$ (coefficient of Z statistics for normal distribution table);

$p =$ caesarean section rate from previous studies (18% from the study by Aisen et al in 2002);

$d =$ sampling error tolerated = 0.05.

$$n = 0.18 \times (1 - 0.18) \times (1.96)^2 / (0.05)^2$$

$$n = 0.567 \approx 227 \approx 0.0025$$

The sample size was adjusted to compensate for an attrition rate of 10%.

Therefore 10% of 227 = 22.7 \approx 23.

Minimum sample size = 227 + 23 = 250

The data collected were analysed using the SPSS statistical package version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

A total of 250 questionnaires were distributed. However, 23 questionnaires were not properly filled so 227 were analysed.

Table 1. Mean of age, number of deliveries, number of children alive, number of deliveries via caesarean section and average income

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation (\pm)
age	29.06	6.00
Number of deliveries	1.89	1.74
Number of children alive	1.62	1.58
Number of CS	1.33	0.69
income	6786.00	1053.92

The average age of the respondents was 29.06 \pm 6.00, mean number of deliveries was 1.89 \pm 1.74 while the average income per month was 6786 \pm 1053.92.

Table 2. Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

Age	Frequency (227)	Percent (100%)
<20	10	4.4
20-29	112	49.3

30-39	92	40.5
40+	13	5.7
Marital status		
Married	220	96.9
Separated	3	1.3
Single	4	1.8
Educational level of respondent		
Islamic	18	7.9
None	3	1.3
Primary	15	6.6
Secondary	111	48.9
Tertiary	80	35.2
Educational level of Spouse/partners		
Islamic	16	7.1
None	6	2.6
Primary	12	5.3
Secondary	80	35.2
Tertiary	112	49.3
No response	1	0.4
Occupation		
Applicant	5	2.2
Artisan	14	6.2
Business	68	30.
Farmer	16	7.0
Housewife	69	30.4
Student	18	7.9
Salary employed	30	13.2
Others	7	3.1
Income of the respondents		
No income	48	21.1
<20000	44	19.4
20000-49000	50	22.0
50000-99000	43	18.9
100000	42	18.5
Religion of the respondents		
Christianity	158	69.6
Islam	69	30.4

The age group 20 to 39 years comprised 89.8% of the respondents. Majority of the respondents were married (almost 97%). However, 1.3% were separated and another 1.8% were single. About 8% of women had Islamic education as compared to almost 49% and approximately 35% who have had some secondary and tertiary education respectively.

49.3% of the respondent's partners had tertiary education while 2.6% had no form of education. Sixty-nine (30.4%) of the respondents are housewives, 16 (7%) are farmers and 68 (30%) are business women. About 19.4% of the respondents earn less than 20,000 naira monthly, 18.9% earn between 50,000 to 99,000 naira monthly and 18.5% earn more than 100,000 monthly. Majority of the respondents (69.6%) are Christians while 30.4% are muslims.

Awareness

All the women knew vaginal delivery and caesarean Section as a mode of delivery (100%).

Table 3. Distribution of women by the number of indications for CS that they know

Number of indications	Frequency	Percent
0	55	24.2
1	111	48.9
2	57	25.1
3	4	1.8
Total	227	100.0

Fifty-five (24.2%) of the respondents did not know any indication for caesarean section while one hundred and seventy two knew at least one correct indication out of which four (1.8%) knew three correct indications for caesarean section.

Table 4. Indications for CS known by the respondents

Indication	Frequency (N = 172)	Percent 100%
2 Prev Cs	2	1.2
Abnormal lie	34	19.8
APH	7	4.1
HIV/PMTCT	4	2.3
Maternal request	6	3.5
Obstructed labour	16	9.3
Breech	24	14
Fatal distress	12	7
Prolonged labour	32	18.6
Contracted pelvis	21	12.2
Eclampsia	10	5.8
Others	4	2.3

The various indications for caesarean section known by the respondents are shown above. Note that some respondents listed more than one indication.

Perception




Figure 1. Perception

Assessing the perception of the respondents about how dangerous caesarean section showed that 121 (55.1%) considered caesarean section to be safe while 76 (33.5%) considered it to be dangerous. 26 (11.4%) of the respondents were undecided.




Figure 2. Reasons why CS is considered dangerous

Among the 76 women that considered caesarean section to be dangerous, more women (36) attributed their fears to possible death of the mother. Twenty women attributed their fears to possible harm to the baby while 32 women felt caesarean section was dangerous because of pain during and after the procedure.

Note: Some women gave more than one reason.

Attitude




Figure 3. Preferred mode of delivery

88.5% prefer vaginal delivery, 6.20% have no preference while 5.30% prefer caesarean section.

Table 5. Reasons why vaginal delivery is preferred

Reason	Frequency (N = 201)	Percent (100%)
It is cheaper	12	5.97
Fear of surgery/ don't like operation	3	1.49
Faster recovery/ short hospital stays	8	3.81
It allows a woman give birth to many children	2	1.0
It has less complications	4	1.99
It is less painful	12	5.97
It is natural	31	15.42
It is safer	65	32.34
To experience it	8	3.81

The commonest reason given for preference for vaginal delivery is that it is safer (32.34%). Eleven (91.67%) out of 12 respondents that prefer caesarean section prefer it because the stress of labour is eliminated.

Among the interviewed respondents, 51 (22.4%) of them have had caesarean section.

Table 6. Interview of the respondents and outcome after CS

Ever undergone CS?	Frequency	Percent
No	176	77.5
Yes	51	22.4
Total	227	100.0

Table 7. Feeling of the respondents and outcome after CS

Feeling and outcome after CS	Frequency	Percent (100%)
Happy because outcome was good	32	62.75
Unhappy because baby died	12	23.53
Deep regret because of severe pain	1	1.96
No response	6	11.76
Total	51	100.0

62.75% of the respondents that have had caesarean section were happy after the surgery because the outcome was good (healthy baby and mother).

Another important finding from this study is that of the 227

respondents interviewed, 160 (70.5%) of them are willing to undergo caesarean delivery if indicated, 18 (7.9%) are undecided and 49 (21.6%) are not willing even if indicated. This shows that majority understand the importance of this procedure but a lot still needs to be done to educate women on the importance of the procedure if indicated.

Table 8. Reasons for aversion to caesarean section

Reason	Frequency (N = 49)	Percent (100%)
Fear of operation	24	48.98
Financial reasons	15	30.61
stigmatization	13	26.53
Prolonged hospitalization	4	8.16
Fear of anaesthesia	2	4.08
Death of mother	11	22.45
Death of baby	6	12.25

The commonest reason for aversion to caesarean section is fear of operation (48.98%) followed by lack of finance (30.61%) then fear of being stigmatized (26.53). Stigmatization is therefore a major reason.

Note that some women gave more than one reason.




Figure 4. Respondents desirous of client education on caesarean section at antenatal clinic

Majority (83.30%) of the respondents are in support of caesarean section as an option of delivery to be incorporated into the antenatal topics to increase its acceptance.

Stigmatization

Most of the women (75.3%) are not aware of stigmatization after having a caesarean section.

Table 9. Stigmatization

	Frequency	Percent
No	171	75.3
Yes	56	24.7
Total	227	100.0




Figure 5. Misconceptions about women who have had caesarean section

The commonest misconception about women who have had caesarean delivery is that people think they are not 'complete women' (53.57%).

Table 10. Relationship between income and willingness to undergo caesarean section if indicated

Income	Willingness to undergo CS if indicated		
	No = 49	Yes = 160	Total = 209
Low	28 (57.1%)	103 (64.4%)	131 (62.7%)
High	21 (42.9%)	57 (35.6%)	78 (37.3%)
X² = 0.839		P = 0.360	

The relationship between income of the respondents and their willingness to undergo caesarean section if indicated was also analysed as shown in the table above. Low income was assigned to women with income less than 50,000 naira and high income assigned to women with income equal to or greater than 50,000 naira. The relationship between income and willingness to undergo caesarean section was not statistically significant.

Table 11. Relationship between religion and willingness to undergo caesarean section if indicated

Religion	Willingness to undergo CS if indicated		
	No = 49	Yes = 160	Total = 209
Christianity	33 (22.6%)	113 (77.4%)	146 (69.9%)
Islam	16 (25.4%)	47 (74.6%)	63 (30.3%)
X² = 0.191		P = 0.662	

The relationship between relationship of the respondents and their willingness to undergo caesarean section if indicated was also analysed as shown in the table above. The relationship between religion and willingness to undergo caesarean section was not statistically significant.

Discussion

In this study, all the respondents were aware of caesarean section as the alternative to vaginal delivery. In a similar study done in an urban setting by Aziken et al in Nigeria, most of the respondents were also aware of caesarean section as the alternative to vaginal delivery.¹⁹ This is also

in keeping with the study by Adageba et al in Ghana where 96% of the respondents were aware of caesarean section.²⁰

This study has shown obviously that caesarean section is not the preferred route of delivery in our society as shown by the fact that 88.50% prefer vaginal delivery. This finding is in agreement with most studies.^{21,22} In the study by Adageba et al majority of the women interviewed (93.3%) preferred vaginal delivery to caesarean section.²⁰ In a similar study involving 180 pregnant women in Chile where the caesarean section rate is reported to be as high as 60% in private clinics, 77.8% of the women preferred vaginal delivery, 9.4% preferred caesarean section and 12.8% had no preference.²³ Another study in Australia involving 290 pregnant women also showed a high preference for vaginal delivery (93.5%), with only 6.4% of them preferring caesarean section.²⁴ The result of these studies are therefore similar to the result of this study which shows that majority of women still prefer to deliver their babies vaginally. Some of the reasons cited in this study for the preference of vaginal delivery are that it is natural (15.42%), safer (32.34%) and cheaper (5.97%). Out of the twelve (5.30%) respondents that preferred Caesarean section, eleven of them (91.67%) preferred CS in order to avoid labour pain. This is similar to the findings of Adageba et al.²⁰

Correct knowledge regarding indication for caesarean section was seen in 172 (75.8%) of the respondents. This finding is different from some other studies. However, the finding is not surprising as most of the respondents had secondary and tertiary education. More than half of the women in this study (55.1%) did not consider caesarean section as being dangerous. This is not in keeping with the finding of Adageba et al who found that 51.7% considered caesarean section to be dangerous.²⁰ About 33.5% of the respondents in this study considered caesarean section to be dangerous. Reasons given for this perception were death of mother, harm to the baby and pain. This is similar to the finding by Adageba et al.²⁰ The study showed that majority of the women (70.5%) would readily undergo the operation when indicated. A higher percentage was reported in a study by Awoyinka et al in Southwest Nigeria where the acceptability of the operation was found to be 85%.¹⁸ Adageba et al. reported an even higher percentage of 90.5%.²⁰

The relationship between income and willingness to undergo caesarean section if indicated was found not to be statistically significant. Also, the relationship between religion and willingness to undergo caesarean section if indicated was not statistically significant. This means that from this study, income or religion alone cannot be used to explain the respondents' perception about caesarean section. Other factors therefore play a role.

The commonest reasons for aversion to caesarean section

were fear of operation (48.98%), lack of finance (30.61%) and fear of being stigmatized (26.53%). The above finding is similar to some other studies.^{22, 25, 26}

The fear of operation as a reason for not accepting the caesarean section cuts across social class and educational status because the general belief in our society that for one to have a surgical operation, its associated with a bad omen.^{25, 27} Stigmatization of these patients by their peers often make them object of social ridicule and at any slightest opportunity, they are reminded that they are lazy and a social misfit. This view is supported by one study carried out in the Western part of Nigeria.^{28, 29} Financial factors is also an important reason because the cost of hospital care is always an issue in health care delivery in Nigeria.^{30, 31}

Most of the women in this study (83.30%) wanted caesarean section to be included in antenatal health education topics. The study by Adegeba et al. reported that 98.1% of the respondents wanted caesarean section included. However, studies may be required to determine the content of the caesarean section educational messages since untested information about the risks of caesarean delivery may scare women from the operation when it is actually indicated.

A limitation of this study is the fact that it was cross sectional in design which makes the likelihood of recall bias high. However, this study provides a background for further studies to explore issues concerning women's perception and attitude towards caesarean section.

In conclusion, there is a high level of awareness of caesarean delivery among ANC attendants at the Jos University Teaching Hospital. Client education is necessary to address some concerns on safety of the operation, indications for the operation, and stigmatisation after the operation.

Conflict of Interest: None

References

- Cunningham FG, MacDonald PC, Gant NF. Caesarean Delivery and Postpartum Hysterectomy. In: Williams Obstetrics Appleton and Lange, Connecticut, 1997.
- Shiono PIT, Fielden JG, Mc Nellis D, et al. Recent trends in caesarean birth and trial of labour rates in the United States *J. Amer Med Assoc* 1987; 257(4): 494-7.
- Unnikrishnan B. Trends and indications for caesarean section in a tertiary care obstetric hospital in coastal South India. *Australasian Medical Journal* 2010; 3: 821-5.
- Rohra S, Bacchus MJ. A review of caesarean sections performed at the George Town Hospital, Guyana. *West Indian Med J* 1983; 32(2): 91-6.
- Onwudiegwu U. The effects of a depressed economy on the utilization of maternal health services. The Nigerian experience II. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1997; 17: 43-148.
- Geidam AD, Audu BM, Kawuwa BM, et al. Rising trend and indications of caesarean section at the university of Maiduguri teaching hospital, Nigeria. *Ann Afr Med* 2009; 8: 127-32.
- Nkwo PO, Onah HE. Feasibility of reducing the caesarean section rate in the university of Nigeria teaching hospital, Enugu. *Trop J Obstet Gynaecol* 2002; 19: 86-9.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Rates of cesarean delivery--United States, 1991. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1993; 42(15): 285-9.
- Jaiyesimi AK, Ojo OE. *Caesarean section in contemporary obstetrics and gynaecology for developing countries.* (eds): Okonofua F and Odunsi K. Women's Health and Action Research Centre, Benin City 2003: 592.
- Buekens P, Curtis S, Alayon S. Demographic and health surveys: caesarean section rates in sub-Saharan Africa. *BMJ* 2003; 326(7381): 136.
- Dumont A, de Bernis L, Bonvier-colle MH, et al. Caesarean section rate for maternal indication for sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 1328-33.
- Oye-Adeniran BA, Umoh AV, Odum CU, et al. Recent trends in caesarean sections at the University of Lagos Teaching Hospital, Nigeria. *Nigerian Quarterly Journal of Hospital Medicine* 1988; 8: 111-4.
- Kwawukume EY. Caesarean section. In: Kwawukume EY, Emuveyan E, editors. *Comprehensive obstetrics in the tropic.* Asante and Hittcher Printing Press Limited 2000: 321-9.
- Igberase GO. High caesarean section rate: a ten-year experience in a tertiary hospital in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Niger J Clin Pract* 2009; 12 (3): 294-7.
- Ibekwe PC. Rising Trends in casearean section rates: an issue of major concern in Nigeria. *Niger J Med* 2004; 13 (2): 180-1.
- Oladapo OT, Sotunsa JO, Sule-Odu AO. A rise in caesarean birth rate in Sagamu, Nigeria: A reflection of changes in obstetric practice. *J Obstet Gynecol* 2004; 24 (4): 377-81.
- Aisien AO, Lawson JO, Adebayo AA. A five-year appraisal of caesarean section in a Northern Nigeria University Teaching Hospital. *Niger Postgrad Med J* 2002; 9: 146-50.
- Awoyinka BS, Ayinde OA, Omigbodun AO. Acceptability of caesarean delivery to antenatal patients in a tertiary health facility in South West Nigeria. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 2006; 26(3): 208-10.
- Aziken M, Omo-Aghoja L, Okonofua F. Perceptions and attitudes of pregnant women towards caesarean section in urban Nigeria. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2007; 86(1): 42-7.
- Adageba RK, Danso KA, Adusu-donkor A, et al. Awareness and perceptions of and attitudes towards caesarean delivery among antenatal. *Ghana Medical*

- Journal* 2008; 42(4): 137-40.
21. Ezechi OC, Fasubaa OB, Dare FO. Socio economic barrier to safe motherhood among booked patients in rural Nigerian communities. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2000; 20(1): 32-4.
 22. Ezechi OC, Nwokoro CA, Kalu BKE, et al. Caesarean Morbidity and mortality in a private hospital in Lagos Nigeria. *Trop J Obstet Gynaecol* 2002; 19(2): 97-100.
 23. Angeja AC, Washington AE, Vargas JE, et al. Chilean women's preferences regarding mode of delivery: which do they prefer and why? *Br J Gynaecol* 2006; 113(11): 1253-8.
 24. Gamble JA, Creedy DK. Women's preference for a caesarean section. Incidence and associated factors. *Birth* 2001; 28(2): 101-10.
 25. Adeleye JA. Primary elective caesarean section in Ibadan Nigeria. *International Surgery* 1977; 62: 97-9.
 26. Lawson JB. Caesarean section. In: *Obstetrics and Gynaecology in the Tropics* edited by Lawson JB and Stewart DB London. 1967: 184-185.
 27. Olusanya O, Okpere E, Ezimokhai M. The importance of social class in voluntary fertility control in a developing country. *W Afr Med* 1985; 4(4): 205-12.
 28. Fasubaa OB, Ogunniyi SO, Dare FO, et al. Uncomplicated caesarean section. Is prolonged hospital stay necessary? *East Afr Med J* 2000; 77(8): 448-51.
 29. Oliver CE, Olusola BF, Bruno EKK, et al. Caesarean Delivery Why the aversion? *Trop J Obstet Gynaecol* 2004; 21: 164-7.
 30. Thomas J, Callwood A, Brocklehurst P, et al. The National Sentinel Caesarean Section Audit. *BJOG* 2000; 107(5): 579-80.
 31. Onwudiegwu U, Makinde ON, Ezechi OC, et al. Decision - caesarean delivery interval in a Nigerian University hospital: implications for maternal morbidity and mortality. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1999; 19: 30-2.

Date of Submission: 2018-07-26

Date of Acceptance: 2018-08-22